SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE in	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVE	ROE OF THE FORMAL								
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS CHRISTOPHER L. MENEN				DEFENDANTS						
				LTD ACQUISITIONS, LLC AND TATE & KIRLIN ASSOCIATES, INC.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff MONTGOMERY				County of Residen				HARRIS C	IS COUNTY, TX	
	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
				l .		ONDEM OLVED	NATION CASES, US	SE THE LOCATI	ON OF TH	Œ
* *	, Address, and Telephone Numb			Attorneys (If Know	vn)					
LAW OFFICE OF MICH SUITE 220, WAYNE, PA	•	·	₹D,							
II. BASIS OF JURISD			III. CI	ITIZENSHIP OI (For Diversity Cases On		INCIP	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in (and One Box f		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	PTF	DEF	Incorporated or Pr		PTF	DEF
ramun	(O.S. GOVERNMENT	(Cold Lady)					of Business In Thi			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity	CD (Lung III)	Citiz	en of Another State	□ 2		2 Incorporated and I of Business In A		□ 5	24 5
2000	(Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)		en or Subject of a	□ 3		3 Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI										mo
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJUR		ORFEITURE/PENALT 10 Agriculture			peal 28 USC 158	□ 400 State R	eannortion	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	310 Airplane	☐ 362 Personal Injury	- 🗖 62	20 Other Food & Drug	=	1 423 Wi	thdrawal	410 Antitru	ıst	
130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractic		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8		28	USC 157	☐ 430 Banks ☐ 450 Comm		ıg
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability		30 Liquor Laws	.01	PROP	ERTY RIGHTS	460 Deport		
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	☐ 368 Asbestos Person		40 R.R. & Truck		820 Co		☐ 470 Racket		
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	 330 Federal Employers' Liability 	Injury Product Liability		50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational		1 830 Pai 1 840 Tra		480 Consu	t Organizat mer Credit	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPER		Safety/Health	آ ا			☐ 490 Cable/	Sat TV	
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud		90 Other		SOCI	L SECURITY	☐ 810 Selecti ☐ 850 Securit		
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending380 Other Personal		LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards			A (1395ff)	Exchar		Julies/
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage		Act		9 862 Bla	ack Lung (923)	☐ 875 Custon	ner Challer	ige
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	385 Property Damage		20 Labor/Mgmt. Relation			WC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal Injury	Product Liability	' D 7.	30 Labor/Mgmt.Reportin & Disclosure Act			ID Title XVI I (405(g))	■ 890 Other :		
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 0 7	40 Railway Labor Act			RAL TAX SUITS	□ 892 Econor		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	510 Motions to Vaca	ite 🛮 🗗 7	90 Other Labor Litigation	on [xes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Enviro		
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment	Sentence	□ 7	91 Empl. Ret. Inc.	۔ ا		Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy ☐ 895 Freedo		
 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 530 General	1	Security Act	l l		S—Third Party USC 7609	☐ 895 Freedo Act	m or inton	mation
245 Torts to Land	444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION				☐ 900Appeal		
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -			62 Naturalization Applic	cation				Equal Acc	ess
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 		63 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee				to Justi		of
	Other	555 Trison Condition		65 Other Immigration				State S		-
	440 Other Civil Rights			Actions						
V. ORIGIN (Place	an "X" in One Box Only)	<u>l </u>						<u> </u>	Appeal to	
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 R	tate Court 3	Appellate Court	Rec	ppened (s	nother specify	rred fron district)	Litigation	n	Judge fro Magistra Judgmen	te
	Cite the U.S. Civil St	ature under which you come 1692-169	are filing 92P	(Do not cite jurisdic	ctional	statutes	unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	Brief description of c	ause: OF THE FAIR DE	вт сс	LLECTION PR	ACTI	CES	ACT			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	N	S IS A CLASS ACTIO 2. 23	N I	DEMAND \$			JURY DEMAND		n complai No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOC	KET NUMBER		· ·—	
DATE 00/24/2012		SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY	OF RECORD	7	-1				
08/31/2012		111CNa	سال	110WS	<u> </u>	/_				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						/				
DECEIDT #	AMOUNT	ADDI VING JED		II IDO	GF		MAG II	HXGE		

Case 2:12-cv-05041-MAM Document 1 Filed 09/03/12 Page 2 of 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be us assignment to appropriate calendar.	sed by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of				
Address of Plaintiff: 13 Byn Mawr Avenue Bala Cymwyd PA 19004					
Address of Defendant: 7322 Southwest Frequency, Suite 1600, Houston, TX 770					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Montgomery County PA (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)					
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and a	my publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?				
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No No				
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No.				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	The Theorem and				
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year p	oreviously terminated action in this court? Yes No				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit action in this court?					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes Naci				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier num					
terminated action in this court?	Yes No No				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights or	ase filed by the same individual?				
	Yes No No				
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)					
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts				
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury				
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. ☐ Assault, Defamation				
4. □ Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury				
5. □ Patent	5. ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury				
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please				
	specify)				
7. □ Civil Rights	7. D Products Liability				
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos				
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases				
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)				
11. Pall other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Fair Debt Collector Practice Arbitration CERTIF					
AA					
I. M. c. Wel P ty bes counsel of record do hereby certify:					
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and be	lief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of				
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.					
8hills Michael Otalia	55767				
DATE: 0 11 1 2 11 Cy WC 1 1 CV 1 Attorney-at-Law	Attorney LD.#				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or wi	thin one year previously terminated action in this court				
except as noted above. M. M. M. DEO. 1 (c)	~~~/ n				
DATE: 0[31][] [ILCNIU FIY CO, [3]	53767				
Attomati_2f_1 or:	Attorney I D #				

CIV. 609 (6/08)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CHRISTOPHER L.	merueru :	CIVIL ACTION				
LTD ACQUISITION THE & KIRUN AS	SOCIATES, INC:	NO.				
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.						
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MANAGE	EMENT TRACKS:				
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()						
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.						
commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse	Cases that do not fall into tracks s complex and that need special of side of this form for a detailed ex	or intense management by				
management cases.)			()			
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not fall into any o	one of the other tracks.	(2)			
8/31/12 Date (al): 293-9399	Metal PFach/s/ Attorney-at-law 610-293-9388	Plaintff Attorney for michaelamks best	W. Cen			
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	ŕ			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA COURT FILE NO: CV-

CHRISTOPHER L. MENEN)	
Plaintiff)	COMPLAINT
v.)	
)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
LTD ACQUISITIONS, LLC)	
and)	
TATE & KIRLIN ASSOCIATES, INC)	
Defendants)	

COMPLAINT

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This action arises out of illegal acts and omissions of the above-named Defendants, who used false, deceptive, misleading, unfair, abusive, and oppressive practices and means in conjunction with attempts to collect an alleged debt or debts and thereby violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692-1692p ("FDCPA") and the Pennsylvania Fair Credit Extension Uniformity Act, 73 P.S. §§ 2270.1-2270.6 ("FCEUA"), and Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law, 73 P.S. §§ 201-1 to 201-9.3 ("UTPCPL"). Plaintiff seeks actual damages, statutory damages, treble damages, costs, and attorney's fees.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1692 et seq (hereinafter "FDCPA"), Pennsylvania Fair Credit Extension Uniformity Act, 73 Pa.C.S. 2270.1 et seq (hereinafter "FCEUA"), Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Consumer Protection Law, 72 Pa.C.S 201-1, et seq (hereinafter "UTCPL"), which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, false, deceptive, misleading and unfair practices.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District because the acts and transactions occurred here and Plaintiff resides within this district.

III. PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Christopher L. Menen is a natural person residing at 13 Bryn Mawr Avenue, Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004, who was allegedly obligated to pay a debt ("the debt") that is the subject of this case that was primarily for family, personal or household purposes, in this case, a credit card, he was a consumer within the meaning of FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and FCEUA, 73 P.S. § 2270.3 and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. section 1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant LTD Acquisitions, LLC ("LTD"), is a corporation with a principal office at 7322 Southwest Freeway, Suite 1600, Houston, TX 77074. LTD transacted business in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and FCEUA, 73 P.S. § 2270.3 and, at all times relevant herein, acted by and through its owners, managers, officer, shareholders, attorneys, authorized representatives, partners, employees, agents and/or workmen.
- 6. Defendant Tate & Kirlin Associates, Inc ("Tate & Kirlin"), is a corporation with a principal office at 2810 Southampton Road, Philadelphia, PA 19154. Tate & Kirlin transacted business in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and FCEUA, 73 P.S. § 2270.3 and, at all times relevant herein, acted by and through its owners, managers, officer, shareholders, attorneys, authorized representatives, partners, employees, agents and/or workmen.
- 7. At all times material and relevant hereto, Defendants are jointly, severally, individually, vicariously and/or equitably liable to Plaintiff.
- 8. Defendants, at all times relevant hereto, were persons who used an instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which was the collection of debts, who regularly collected or attempted to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due asserted to be

owed or due another, and/or who, in the process of collecting its own debts, used a name other than its own which would indicate that a third person was collecting or attempting to collect such debts.

9. At all pertinent times, Defendant Tate & Kirlin was hired by Defendant LTD and was acting on behalf of Defendant LTD to collect moneys relating to a consumer credit card that was allegedly owed by Plaintiff.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. On June 18, 2010, Defendant LTD filed a Civil Complaint against Plaintiff in Montgomery County Magisterial District Number 38-1-07, alleging that Plaintiff owed an unpaid balance of \$5,938.34 on a CHASE BANK, USA N.A. credit card with an account number ending in 6954. A true and correct copy of this Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 11. On August 12, 2010, judgment was entered in favor of Plaintiff in the above referenced civil case. A true and correct copy of this Notice of Judgment/Transcript is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
- 12. On August 26, 2010, Defendant LTD filed an Appeal of the judgment in Montgomery County Court of Common Pleas. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Appeal from District Justice Judgment is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."
- 13. On or about September 8, 2010, Defendant LTD filed a Civil Complaint which Plaintiff responded to by filing Preliminary Objections on or about October 4, 2010. A true and correct copy of the docket report for this case is attached hereto as Exhibit "D."
- 14. On or about October 6, 2010, Defendant LTD filed a Praecipe to Discontinue Action Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 229(a). A true and correct copy of this Praceipe is attached hereto as Exhibit "E."
- 15. Due to the Common Pleas case being discontinued, the judgment entered in favor of Plaintiff in Magisterial Court stands as the final judgment in this litigation.

- 16. In a letter to Plaintiff dated March 20, 2012, Defendant Tate & Kirlin, acting on behalf of Defendant LTD, offers to settle the same Chase Bank USA, N.A. account for \$6,672.93. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "F."
- 17. In the above referenced letter, the balance allegedly owed by Plaintiff has increased by \$734.59.
- 18. According to Exhibit B of the Complaint filed with the Court of Common Pleas, the last payment made on the CHASE BANK USA, N.A. credit card was in 2006, rendering this collection attempt well outside the statute of limitations. A true and correct copy of Defendant's Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit "G."
- 19. Defendants' actions, inter alia, as aforestated are material, deceptive, false and misleading under the FDCPA in that:
- a. Defendants are attempting to collect a on a debt on which a judgment has been entered in favor of Plaintiff;
 - b. Defendants are attempting to collect a debt after the statute of limitations;
- c. Defendants added interest, costs or fees to the original balance owed, despite a judgment being entered in favor of Plaintiff.

V. COUNT I CAUSES OF ACTION COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et. seq.

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraph 1 through 19 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. Defendants' actions as aforestated are false, deceptive, material and misleading to Plaintiff as follows:

- (a) Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in violation of;
- (b) Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e by using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the debt collection;
- (c) Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by misrepresenting the character, amount, or legal status of the alleged debt;
- (d) Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) by threatening to take action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken;
- (e) Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f by using unfair and unconscionable means to attempt to collect Plaintiff's alleged debt;
- (f) Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1) by attempting to collect any amount not authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' illegal collection efforts, Plaintiff has suffered damages in the form of attorney's fees, costs and expenses.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' illegal collection efforts and communications, Plaintiff has suffered mental anguish, emotional distress, anger, anxiety, and frustration, fear, embarrassment and humiliation.
- 24. Plaintiff has been seriously damaged by Defendants' violations of the FDCPA and is entitled to actual damages, compensatory damages, costs and attorneys fees.
- 25. As a result of the foregoing violations of the FDCPA, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for actual damages, statutory damages, attorney's fees and costs in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

- 26. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 25 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 27. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.
- 28. As a result of each of Defendants' violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is therefore entitled to actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1); statutory damages in amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from Defendants.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Christopher L. Menen, prays that judgment be entered against each and every Defendant for the following:

- (1) Actual damages;
- (2) Statutory damages in the amount of \$1,000.00;
- (3) Reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
- (4) Declaratory judgment that the Defendants' conduct violated the FDCPA;
- (5) Such other and further relief that the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II

VIOLATIONS OF PENNSYLVANIA FAIR CREDIT EXTENSION UNIFORMITY ACT (FCEUA, 73 Pa. C.S § 2270.1, et. seq.

29. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 28 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 30. The collection of debt in Pennsylvania is proscribed by the Fair Credit Extension Uniformity Act at 73 Pa. C.S. 201-1 et. seq. ("FCEU"). Defendants are debt collectorx pursuant to 73 Pa.C.S. § 2270.3.
- 31. The alleged debt Defendants were attempting to collect is a "debt" as defined by 73 Pa.C.S. § 2270.3.
- 32. The FCEUA proscribes, inter alia, engaging in any false, misleading or deceptive representations when attempting to collect a consumer debt.
- 33. The actions of Defendants, as aforesaid, constitute false, misleading or deceptive representations.
- 34. Violation of the FDCPA is a per se violation of the FCEUA.
- 35. By virtue of the violations of law as aforesaid, and pursuant to the FCEUA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages, treble attorneys' fees and costs of suit.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Christopher L. Menen, prays that judgment be entered against each and every Defendant for the following:

- (1) An Order declaring that Defendants violated the FCEU;
- (2) Actual damages;
- (3) Treble damages;
- (4) Reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
- (5) Such other and further relief that the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT III

VIOLATIONS OF PENNSYLVANIA UNFAIR TRADE CONSUMER PROTECTION LAW ("UTCPL"), 73 Pa. C.S § 201-1, et. seq.

- 36. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 35 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 37. Plaintiff and Defendants are "Person(s)" pursuant to 73 Pa. C.S §201-2.
- 38. The UTCPL proscribes, inter alia, engaging in any "unfair or deceptive acts or practices" wither at, prior to, or subsequent to a consumer transaction.
- 39. The actions of Defendants, as aforesaid, constitute unfair or deceptive acts and practices under the UTCPL, by way of the following, inter alia:
- a. Defendants misrepresented to Plaintiff the character, extent, or amount of the debt or its status in a legal proceeding pursuant to 73 Pa. C.S §201-3.1.
- b. Defendants engaged in fraudulent or deceptive conduct which created a likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding pursuant to 73 Pa. C.S §201-2(xxi).
- c. Defendants failed to comply with the FDCPA and FCEUA which are per se violations of the UTPCPL.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions as aforestated, Plaintiff has suffered financial damages and harm.
- 41. By virtue of the Defendants' violations of law as aforestated, and pursuant to the FCEUA and UTCPL, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages, treble attorneys' fees and costs of suit.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Christopher L. Menen, prays that judgment be entered against each and every Defendant for the following:

- (1) An Order declaring that Defendants violated the UTCPL
- (2) Actual damages;
- (3) Treble damages;

- (4) Reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
- (5) Such other and further relief that the Court deems just and proper.

VI. TRIAL BY JURY

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraph 1 through 41 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 43. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby respectfully demands a trial by jury. US Const. amend. 7.Fed.R.Civ.P.38.

Respectfully submitted,

Mpf8441/s/Michael P. Forbes

Law Office of Michael P. Forbes, P.C. By: Michael P. Forbes, Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney I.D. #55767 200 Eagle Road Suite 220 Wayne, PA 19087 (610 293-9399 (610)293-9388 (Fax) michael@mforbeslaw.com